

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,741	11/0-	4/2005	Ludwig Dittmar	2002 P 09188 US	9239
48154	7590	12/11/2006		EXAMINER	
SLATER & 17950 PREST		LP	DINH, THU HUONG T		
SUITE 1000	ON KOAD			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
DALLAS, T	X 75252	•	2812		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/519,741	DITTMAR ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thu-Huong Dinh	2812		

Defere the Filing of an Annual Drief							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thu-Huong Dinh	2812					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. If event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extensio final Office action: or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal c	of the appeal.				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			-7-				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-	jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		montions Amoundmont	(DTOL 204)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-Ct	Impliant Amendment	(P10L-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 30 would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		nely filed amendment	canceling the				
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>30</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-29,31-35</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		// /				
13. Other:		Missalla	THE				
		WALTER LINDSAY	JŔ. Er				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant disagreed with Examiner that "Gruening-Von Schwerin et al. does not teach patterning the hard mask for forming contact holes on vias and then repatterning the same hard mask for forming trenches for conductor lines. However, as indicated in the Final rejection, Gruening-Von Schwerin et al. teach a hard mask M1 that is used to patterned the contact hole (col. 5, [0057]) and then re-patterned (col. 5 [0058]) to define a conductor line trench (70) which is connected thereto (col.5 [0062]). Therefore the final rejection is maintainted.

WALTER CINDOATORS
PRIMARY EXAMINER